An Urban Surface Scheme and Input Parameters



S. Schubert¹*, S. Grossman-Clarke², A. Martilli³, U. Böhm¹, F.-W. Gerstengarbe¹

¹ Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research ² Arizona State University

³ CIEMAT, Unidad de Contaminacion Atmosferice

*sebastian.schubert@pik-potsdam.de, Tel.: +49-(0)331-288-2592

The multi-layer building energy parameterization scheme (BEP) by Martilli et al. (2002) is currently implemented into the CCLM to enhance the application of the model to cities.



every grid cell. The following keynotes describe our ansatz to determine the urban parameters:

- fraction cover of buildings: area of the building's ground surfaces (fig. 6),
- building height probability $\gamma(h)$: area weighted heights of the buildings (cf. fig. 7),

1. Description of BEP

1.1 Street Canyon Model

BEP uses a simplified model of buildings. The **urban fraction** part of every CCLM grid cell consists of **street canyons** with the length of the cell *D*, which are characterized by

- the **building width** *B* and
- the canyon width W (cf. fig. 1),
- the street angle ζ (cf. fig. 2) and
- a height level and direction dependent building probability $\gamma(h)$.



(b) View on the street canyon from the side **Figure 3:** Visualization of the effective sunlit wall surface A' and canyon width W' in (a) and the shade effect in (b)

1.3 Implementation and Enhancements

In the CCLM implementation, we will test potential enhancements of BEP (partly depicted in fig. 4):

- treat roof surfaces consistently with other urban surfaces for radiation processes,
- consider the vertical distribution of buildings in

- street direction ζ : direction of wall surface,
- canyon width W: weighted average distance to other wall surfaces,
- other parameters: use the assumption that the total roof and ground surface of the buildings in every grid cell is equal to that in reality (Martilli 2009).



Figure 6: Fraction of buildings in the city of Berlin (meridional grid spacing: 0.0069°, zonal grid spacing: 0.0117°)

Figure 1: Basic urban street canyon model (Martilli et al. 2002)

1.2 Physical Processes

With these street canyon parameters, the following effects are considered:

- reduced sky visibility (cf. fig. 3(b)) and reflections and emissions from other urban surfaces (roofs, walls, roads) to calculate radiation budget at every building height level,
- one dimensional heat diffusion to determine the surface temperature of every urban surface,
- effects of horizontal and vertical urban surfaces on wind fields, temperature and TKE, which result in additional tendency terms in the governing equations,
- modified turbulent length scales to incorporate the size of the buildings.

the radiation transfer scheme of CCLM, which offers the possibility to make urban radiation processes dependent on the wavelength,

- look for numerical techniques to increase the calculation speed,
- research alternative street canyon representations which include vegetation.



Figure 4: *Planned model enhancements (compare with fig. 1)*

2. Derivation of urban parameters



Figure 7: Distribution of building heights in the city of Berlin (note the semi-logarithmic scale)

3. Acknowledgements

We like to thank the city of Berlin for the supply of the 3d Berlin data as well as the European Union for supporting the creation of this data through the European Regional Development Fund.

Ν



Figure 2: The angle χ between the urban street canyon and the sun is calculated from the street direction ζ and the solar azimuth ψ .



Figure 5: Example of the 3d data used to derive the urban parameters: Berlin Alexanderplatz and the TV tower

Highly detailed urban building data (e.g. fig. 5) can be used to **derive different urban input parameters for**



References

Martilli, A. (2009). 'On the Derivation of Input Parameters for Urban Canopy Models from Urban Morphological Datasets'. In: *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 130.2, pp. 301–306.

Martilli, A., A. Clappier and M.W. Rotach (2002). 'An urban surface exchange parameterisation for meso-scale models'. In: *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 104.2, pp. 261–304.