

We have investigated plasma turbulence at the edge of a tokamak plasma using data from electrostatic potential fluctuations measured in the Brazilian tokamak TCABR. Recurrence quantification analysis has been used to provide diagnostics of the deterministic content of the series. We have focused our analysis on the radial dependence of potential fluctuations and their characterization by recurrence-based diagnostics. Our main result is that the deterministic content of the experimental signals is the most pronounced at the external part of the plasma column just before the plasma radius. Since the chaoticity of the signals follows the same trend, we have concluded that the electrostatic plasma turbulence at the tokamak plasma edge can be partially explained by means of a deterministic nonlinear system.

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Recurrence plots (RPs) are graphical representations of the matrix [1–3]

$$\mathbf{R}_{i,j} = \Theta\left(\epsilon - \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|\right), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$
(1)

where  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{D}$  represents a dynamical state in the *D*-dimensional phase space of the system under consideration at time *i*,  $\epsilon$  is a predetermined threshold,  $\Theta(.)$  is the unit step function,  $\| \cdots \|$  stands for the Euclidean norm, and *N* is the total number of points. The RP is thus obtained by assigning a black (white) dot to the points for which  $\mathbf{R}_{i,j} = 1$  (0).

RPs have been originally introduced as a numerical tool to calculate the maximum Lyapunov exponent related to a time series [1]. Later it has been shown that RPs can also be used to study non-stationarity of a time series as well as to indicate its degree of aperiodicity [4]. In particular, there are several

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: viana@fisica.ufpr.br (R.L. Viana).

<sup>56</sup> 0375-9601/\$ - see front matter © 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
 <sup>57</sup> doi:10.1016/j.physleta.2007.07.088

measures proposed by Webber and Zbilut to make recurrence quantification analysis from an RP, turning it into an extremely useful tool in nonlinear time series analysis [5]. Recurrence quantification analysis has been extensively used in a plethora of problems ranging from meteorology [6], finance [7], and geophysics [8] to cardiology [9]. In particular, RPs have become important to characterize data from space plasmas [10].

In such disciplines one usually considers a univariate time series from which one can make an embedding using the vectors [11]

$$\mathbf{x}_{i} = \{x_{i}, x_{i+\tau}, x_{i+2\tau}, \dots, x_{i+(d-1)\tau}\},$$
(2)

where *d* is the embedding dimension and  $\tau$  is the delay. There are standard methods devised to obtain reliable estimates from both *d* and  $\tau$  [12]. The basic idea of an RP is to start from such a phase space embedding and compare the embedding vectors with each other, drawing pixels when the Euclidean distance between vectors is below some threshold  $\epsilon$ , defined as a small fraction of the standard deviation of the time series being considered [13,14].

The taxonomy of dynamical behaviors can be explored in a large extent by using RPs and the quantifiers associated with them (recurrence quantification analysis, or ROA for short) [5]. As an example, stationary time series yield RPs which are homogeneous along a diagonal line. Moreover, if the RP shows a cloud of points with a homogeneous yet irregular distribution, then the time series has a pronounced stochastic nature. On the other hand, the formation of patterns in RPs may indicate stationary chaotic behavior. Moreover, RPs enable the computation of dynamical invariants, such as the second order

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 Rényi entropy and correlation dimension [15,16]. 12 One fertile field of study where RPs are potentially advan-13 tageous is the analysis of fusion plasmas such those generated 14 by tokamaks [17]. A major goal in the study of such systems 15 has been to understand the causes and associated rates of anom-16 alously large cross-field transport, which is thought to be caused 17 by plasma turbulence [18,19]. One experimental signature of 18 plasma turbulence in the plasma edge of a tokamak is the fluc-19 tuating behavior of the electrostatic floating potential. Such 20 signals often display a broad fluctuation spectra, as observed 21 in the Brazilian tokamak TCABR [20]. A number of probes 22 have been built in TCABR to measure the particle density and 23 temperature fluctuations in the edge region. The experimental 24 results suggest that the turbulent transport is mainly electrosta-25 tic in nature [21], as similarly observed in other tokamaks [22]. 26 For example, electrostatic turbulence has been studied in the 27 plasma edge of TCABR under the influence of radio frequency 28 excited waves [23] and bias electrode polarization [24].

29 The deterministic content of the electrostatic fluctuations in 30 the plasma edge has been assigned to physical mechanisms gov-31 erned by nonlinear mechanisms, like the interaction between 32 drift waves which appear due to the steep density gradients in 33 the plasma edge region of a tokamak [25]. These drift wave in-34 teractions are known to depend critically on the radial position 35 such that the electrostatic turbulent fluctuations should also ex-36 hibit some radial dependence. However, the radial variation of 37 the deterministic content of the plasma turbulence may not be 38 immediately apparent from the experimental data obtained in 39 tokamaks. Hence in this work we used RPs as a tool to quantify 40 the recurrence properties of such series to provide a quantifica-41 tion of the degree of determinism and other related measures of 42 RQA and their dependence on the radial position at the plasma 43 edge. It turned out that this radial dependence, formerly elusive 44 in conventional analysis using, e.g. spectral methods, is best ob-45 served using ROA.

The Letter is organized as follows: in Section 2 we briefly 46 47 describe the RQA numerical diagnostics used to analyze the 48 experimental data. Section 3 outlines the experimental setting, 49 and the kind of data we obtain from the tokamak TCABR. Sec-50 tion 4 presents the results of RQA, as applied to data, and the 51 last section contains our conclusions.

#### 53 2. Recurrence quantification analysis

52

54

55 RQA comprises many quantitative diagnostics of the distri-56 bution of points (actually pixels) in a recurrence plot, focusing 57 on three basic kinds of structures [26]. The first kind are single,

or isolated points, which occur if the dynamical states are rare, 58 do not persist for any time, or fluctuate heavily. The recurrence 59 rate (RR) is the probability of finding a black recurrence point 60 (for which  $\mathbf{R}_{i,i} = 1$ ), or 61

$$RR = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i,j=1; i \neq j}^{N} \mathbf{R}_{i,j}$$
(3) (3)

66

67

68

69

70

71

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

where  $N^2$  is the total number of pixels (black or white) in an RP [27]. We remark that the main diagonal points are excluded from the double sum, since each point is recurrent with itself.

Most of the RQA diagnostics deal with diagonal lines, which are structures parallel to the line of identity  $R_{i,i} = 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , and formally defined as

$$\mathbf{R}_{i+k,j+k} = 1$$
  $(k = 1, 2, ..., \ell),$  72

$$\mathbf{R}_{i,j} = \mathbf{R}_{i+\ell+1,j+\ell+1} = 0, \tag{4}$$

where  $\ell$  is the length of the diagonal line, occurs when a segment of a given trajectory (in phase space) runs parallel to another segment. In other words, when an RP presents a diagonal line, two pieces of a trajectory undergo for a certain time (the length of the diagonal) a similar evolution and visit the same region of phase space at different times. This is the key idea of recurrence and thus a clearcut signature of determinism. Accordingly, we compute  $P(\ell) = \{\ell_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, N_\ell\},\$ which is the frequency distribution of the lengths  $\ell_i$  of diagonal lines, and  $N_{\ell}$  is the absolute number of diagonal lines, with the exception of the main diagonal line which always exist by construction.

The determinism (DET) is defined as

$$DET = \frac{\sum_{\ell=\ell \min}^{\ell_{\max}} \ell P(\ell)}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{\ell_{\max}} \ell P(\ell)}$$
(5)
<sup>89</sup>
<sub>90</sub>
<sub>91</sub>

where  $\ell_{\min}$  is the minimum length allowed for a diagonal line, whereas the maximum diagonal length is  $\ell_{max} = max(\{\ell_i; i =$ 1, 2, ...,  $N_{\ell}$ ). Thus DET measures the percentage of points in an RP belonging to diagonal lines. Other related quantities are the ratio (RATIO) between DET and RR and the average diagonal length (Ldm),

$$Ldm = \frac{\sum_{\ell=\ell\min}^{\ell_{max}} \ell P(\ell)}{\sum_{\ell=\ell\min}^{\ell_{max}} P(\ell)}.$$
(6)

$$m = \frac{1}{\sum_{\ell=\ell_{min}}^{\ell_{max}} P(\ell)}.$$
(6) 99  
100  
Following Eckmann et al. [1] the lengths of the diagonal  
the largest positive Lya-

lines are related to the inverse of the largest positive Lyapunov exponent of the system. Likewise, the divergence DIV =  $1/\ell_{max}$  is related with the Kolmogorov–Sinai (KS) entropy of a dynamical system, or the sum of its positive Lyapunov exponents. Moreover, we can use RPs to compute reliable estimates for the Shannon entropy (ENTR),

$$ENTR = -\sum_{\ell=\ell_{\min}}^{\ell_{\max}} p(\ell) \ln p(\ell), \qquad (7)$$

where

$$p(\ell) = \frac{P(\ell)}{\sum_{\ell=\ell_{\min}}^{\ell_{\max}} P(\ell)}$$
(8) <sup>113</sup>  
114

is the probability distribution of the diagonal line lengths. ENTR reflects the complexity of the deterministic structure in 2 3 the system. However, since it depends sensitively on the bin 4 number, the value of ENTR may differ for different realizations 5 of the same process, like distinct data preparations.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

6 The third kind of interesting structures in an RPs is vertical lines, representing time intervals for which a dynamical state does not change or changes very slowly, and they turn to be a typical behavior of laminar states in intermittency scenarios. Vertical lines are defined by:

$$\mathbf{R}_{i,j+k} = 1 \quad (k = 1, 2, ..., \upsilon),$$
  
$$\mathbf{R}_{i,j} = \mathbf{R}_{i,j+\upsilon+1} = 0,$$
 (9)

where v is the length of a vertical line. It suffices to consider 15 vertical lines, since the RP is symmetric under interchange of 16 indexes *i* and *j*. Analogously to diagonal lines, we can obtain the frequency distribution of the lengths  $v_i$  of vertical lines,  $P(v) = \{v_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, N_v\}$ , which is and  $N_v$  is the absolute number of vertical lines. The laminarity (LAM) is the percentage of RP points forming vertical lines, or

$$LAM = \frac{\sum_{\upsilon = \upsilon_{\min}}^{\upsilon_{\max}} \upsilon P(\upsilon)}{\sum_{\upsilon = 1}^{N_{\upsilon}} \upsilon P(\upsilon)}$$
(10)

where  $v_{\min}$  is the minimum lengths of a vertical line, whereas the maximum vertical length is  $v_{max} = max(\{v_i; i = 1, 2, ..., v_{max}\})$  $\dots, N_{\nu}$ ). The trapping time (TT) is the average length of a vertical line

$$TT = \frac{\sum_{\nu=\nu_{\min}}^{\nu_{\max}} \nu P(\nu)}{\sum_{\nu=\nu_{\min}}^{\nu_{\max}} P(\nu)}.$$
(11)

# 3. Electrostatic turbulence in tokamak plasmas

35 The knowledge of the transport properties of the plasma in 36 the tokamak edge (i.e., the region comprising the outer por-37 tion of the plasma column and the vacuum region that separates 38 it from the vessel wall) is essential to the stable operation of 39 the tokamak [25,28]. Field line chaos plays here a major role 40 in the interpretation of the experimental results, since it was 41 long recognized that turbulent transport is particularly impor-42 tant in the plasma edge [29]. In particular, drift waves can be 43 destabilized due to the confining magnetic field so as to yield a 44 turbulent spectrum [30].

45 Quantitative investigations of the electrostatic turbulent 46 spectrum have been made using both standard approaches, like 47 spectral analysis and wavelets, as well as dynamical diagnostics 48 like the return-time statistics [31]. The use of the latter approach 49 is encouraged by the role of chaotic behavior in temporal scales 50 on the onset and development of large-scale turbulence. One 51 of such toolboxes is just the recurrence quantification analysis, 52 which we apply to the electrostatic turbulence measurements 53 available for the tokamak edge region.

54 The experiments were performed in a hydrogen circular 55 plasma in the Brazilian tokamak TCABR [20] (major radius 56 R = 61 cm and minor radius a = 18 cm). The plasma current 57 reaches a maximum value of 100 kA, with duration 100 ms,



Fig. 1. Time evolution of (a) plasma current, (b) electron density, and (c) floating potential for a typical discharge of TCABR tokamak. The vertical lines indicate the time window used in recurrence quantification analysis.

the hydrogen filling pressure is  $3 \times 10^{-4}$  Pa, and toroidal magnetic field  $B_T = 1.1$  T. Three Langmuir probes measure the mean density and the plasma electrostatic potential: two probes measure the floating potential fluctuations and the third probe measures ion saturation current fluctuations. The probes are mounted on a movable shaft that can be displaced radially from r = 15 cm to 23 cm, with respect to the center of the plasma column. In this work we shall focus on the range from 16 to 21 cm so as to cover both the plasma edge and the so-called scrapeoff layer, the latter comprising part of the vacuum layer existent between the plasma column and the vessel wall. The probe displacement, however, occurs only for separate discharges, in order not to disturb the plasma due to the movement of the probe. The measurements were performed at a sampling frequency of 1 MHz, and the measuring circuit has a 300 kHz bandwidth to avoid aliasing, such that in every discharge out of 10<sup>5</sup> points can be recorded [21,23].

Fig. 1 shows the time evolution of a typical tokamak plasma discharge in TCABR. The plasma current [Fig. 1(a)] grows rapidly in the first 20 ms and reaches a plateau where the current stays at a 100 kA level, decaying slowly during the second half until the eventual disrupture. The electron density evolution, indicated by Fig. 1(b), exhibits a similar evolution, with a plateau level of  $n_e \sim 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ . The signals we are particularly interested to study are for the floating electrostatic potential  $V_f$ , a representative example being depicted by Fig. 1(c), which shows highly irregular fluctuations in the -200 V to +200 V range. The window chosen for measurements of the floating 105 106 potential has been indicated by vertical lines. We choose this window, indicated by vertical bars in Fig. 1, so as to avoid the 107 108 discharge phase where external perturbations are applied for other kinds of investigations. Fig. 1, however, is rather excep-109 tional since it represents a tokamak discharge where no such 110 perturbations have been applied to the plasma. 111

The nature of the electrostatic potential fluctuation is de-112 113 pendent on the radial location where the probe is placed, as suggested by Fig. 2, where the first 2 ms of the time window in 114

Z.O. Guimarães-Filho et al. / Physics Letters A ••• (••••) •••-•••



Fig. 2. Time evolution of the floating potential measured by Langmuir probes placed at radii (a) r = 16.5 cm, (b) r = 18.0 cm, and (c) r = 21.0 cm. The corresponding power spectral densities are depicted in (d), (e), and (f), respectively.

18

19

20

21 the plasma current plateau has been selected, as well as the cor-22 responding power spectra. Within the plasma column [Fig. 2(a)] 23 the potential fluctuations present a -50 V to +50 V range. As 24 we move outside the plasma column [Fig. 2(b)], just after its 25 radius (imposed by a material limiter which plays no role in 26 this discussion) such fluctuations increase by a factor of 4, in-27 dicating that the turbulence level augments as we approach the 28 plasma radius. This increase is not monotonic, though, as re-29 vealed by Fig. 2(c), where the floating potential range decreases 30 to an in-between level. Hence the turbulent fluctuations become 31 weaker as we move outside the plasma radius toward the vessel 32 wall.

33 The radial dependence of the electrostatic turbulence level 34 at the vicinity of the plasma radius is a signature of the role 35 played by radial density gradients in the generation of drift waves which is the essential cause of turbulence in the plasma 36 37 edge. In fact, the presence of steep density gradients in the 38 plasma edge can give rise to fully developed drift-wave tur-39 bulence, which is considered a likely candidate for explaining 40 anomalous transport observed in experiments [25]. However, 41 characterizing turbulence in order to quantify its level and ra-42 dial dependence is a difficult task, what can be illustrated by 43 Figs. 2(d)-(f), where we show the power spectra of the poten-44 tial fluctuations in the three radial positions just analyzed. All of 45 them are broadband, which is already expected from the chaotic 46 behavior related to turbulence but, apart from some unessential 47 rippling, those spectra do not show a distinguish feature which 48 could be used to quantify the turbulence level and specific dif-49 ferent dynamical regimes.

50 The usefulness of linear approaches like power spectra is 51 naturally limited in view of the strong nonlinear character of 52 plasma turbulence, and encourages the use of chaos-based di-53 agnostics, like the correlation dimension, Lyapunov exponents, 54 and entropies. However, the existing methods for evaluating 55 those quantities assume that the time series being investigated 56 is stationary, long enough, and with low noise. These require-57 ments are difficult to fulfill in plasma experiments [12]. To overcome these difficulties, other approaches have been proposed, like using the statistical properties of the return time to explore the recurrent behavior typical of turbulent phenomena [31].

58

59

60

The success of using these recurrence methods in charac-61 terizing the turbulence level observed in tokamak experiments 62 63 suggests that RQA can be also of interest, especially because it does not impose stationarity nor long series length as neces-64 sary conditions, and can also work satisfactorily with moderate 65 noise levels. Moreover, RQA yields reliable estimates of the 66 Shannon entropy and can also indicate the amount of determin-67 ism in a given time series, what gives us an idea of the noise 68 level added to the chaotic signal. The recurrence plots for the 69 first 1000 points (corresponding to a 1 ms interval) of the series 70 depicted in Figs. 2(a)-(c) are shown in Figs. 3(a)-(c), respec-71 72 tively. We choose the embedding dimension as d = 4 and the time delay  $\tau$  was selected by considering the first local mini-73 mum of the autocorrelation function [12]. We can recognize the 74 changes in the turbulent behavior at the plasma radius by com-75 paring the diagonal and vertical structures of Fig. 3(b) with the 76 scattered nature of the recurrence plots depicted by Figs. 3(a) 77 and (c), which suggest a pronounced stochastic effect, proba-78 bly related to noise and/or other mechanisms not accounted for 79 in a deterministic theory. Figs. 3(d), (e), and (f) contain a mag-80 81 nification of the lower left corner of Figs. 3(a), (b), and (c), respectively, and illustrate qualitatively the different recurrence 82 patterns as we consider the turbulent fluctuations in the vicinity 83 84 of plasma edge.

Since we have selected a 1 ms portion of each signal for con-85 structing a recurrence plot, an immediate question arises as how 86 we could assure that this piece of the original signal constitutes 87 a stationary series. There are standard diagnostics of stationar-88 ity for nonlinear time series [12], but we have performed such 89 a test using the consistency of recurrence-based diagnostics, 90 like the determinism (but practically any other of the quanti-91 ties described in Section 2 could be equally used). Fig. 4(a)92 exhibits the results of these tests, where the determinism (DET) 93 of a sequence of recurrence plots have been determined, us-94 ing Eq. (5), for consecutive pieces of an original series, each of 95 them with 1 ms of length (equivalent to 1000 points, as before). 96 For data acquired at r = 16.5 cm [depicted as empty circles in 97 Fig. 4(a)] the values of DET for each series segment are distrib-98 uted around a mean value of  $\approx 0.17$  and with a small dispersion, 99 indicating a consistency of such diagnostic against the total ex-100 tension of the series and thus suggesting that the original series 101 is stationary enough for our purposes. This stationarity test was 102 repeated for other two radii, just like those considered in Figs. 2 103 and 3, the results pointing to values of determinism around 0.23 104 and 0.36, respectively. 105

106 Another issue to be taken into account when analyzing data from tokamak plasma is that each data set is related to a specific 107 plasma discharge (tokamaks operate in pulsate regime). Since 108 there is a plethora of physical factors affecting the nature of a 109 discharge, we expect that such factors can be adequately man-110 aged out, such that the results are reproducible enough to give 111 trustworthy values for the diagnostics we perform. This can be 112 obtained, in practice, by making measurements in successive 113 discharges, for which the tokamak and plasma parameters are 114



Fig. 3. Recurrence plots for the first 1000 points (corresponding to a 1 ms time window) of the series for floating potential for radial positions (a) r = 16.5 cm, (b) r = 18.0 cm, and (c) r = 21.0 cm. Magnifications of the lower left corners of these plots are shown in (d), (e), and (f), respectively. 

held constant. Possible differences, however, can arise from instabilities and other effects related to the highly nonlinear (and actually turbulent) plasma behavior. In Fig. 4(b) we consider potential fluctuation data from out of 57 discharges involving 9 radial positions of the probe (in each discharge the probe po-sition is held fixed at a given position). The mean values of DET obtained in different discharges are plotted versus the ra-dial positions. The line joining those points is a polynomial fit just to guide the eye, i.e. it is not intended to give a radial profile DET (r) but rather a trend: the degree of determinism

q

increases significantly as we approach the plasma radius, and decreases afterwards. This suggests a diminishing contribution of stochastic effects at the plasma edge, in conformity with the visual inspection of Fig. 3.

One key factor when constructing a recurrence plot is the choice of the embedding dimension d. We have compared dif-ferent values of d in Figs. 5(a) to (d) to plot radial profiles of DET for different discharge, in much the same way as in Fig. 4(b). The consistency of the radial profile of DET with a clear maximum near the plasma radius is demonstrated qual-



Fig. 4. (a) Variation of determinism with the time window (each of them containing 1000 points) selected from the original signal for r = 16.5 cm (circles), r = 18 cm (squares) and r = 21 cm (stars). The mean values are indicated as full lines. (b) Radial profile for the mean value of determinism for various discharges (see text for details). The full line is a polynomial fit and the horizontal bar placed in the bottom represents the scrape-off layer region, after the plasma radius (determined by a material limiter).



Fig. 5. Radial profiles of determinism for embedding dimensions (a) d = 1, (b) d = 2, (c) d = 3, and (d) d = 5. Circles stand for original data, whereas squares refer to surrogate data. The horizontal bar indicates the scrape-off layer region.

itatively for different embedding dimensions (a quantitative agreement is clearly impossible since the values of d affect the topology of the reconstructed attractor). Fig. 5 also shows an-other numerical experiment we performed, by computing DET for the same time series as before, but with shuffled points. This procedure creates a surrogate series for which the statistical distributions are preserved, but destroying any time correlation due to determinism. Hence, a difference between the values of DET between the original series and its surrogate indicates a deterministic content which yields the (chaotic) dynamics we observe. Comparing those differences for a fixed radius, say

a 

r = 18 cm, shows that this deterministic content increases when we pass from d = 1 to 2, but saturates afterwards. We con-cluded that d = 2 is enough for our purposes. This obviously does not imply that d = 2 is an optimal embedding dimen-sion, in the sense we require, for example, for obtaining the correlation dimension through standard procedures [32], but re-currence plots with d = 2 already furnish results as good as with other higher-dimension (and time-consuming) embeddings. We have varied other parameters as the cutoff radius ( $\epsilon$ ) and min-imum diagonal and vertical lengths ( $\ell_{min}$  and  $\upsilon_{min}$ ), without noticeable changes in the profiles. 



Fig. 6. Radial profiles for several recurrence-based diagnostics: (a) laminarity; (b) entropy; (c) average diagonal length; (d) trapping time. The horizontal bar indicates the scrape-off layer region. 25

27 Up to here, we restricted our analysis to the determinism 28 DET, but the general trend exhibited by the latter is also clearly seen in other diagnostics, as illustrated by Fig. 6. We consid-29 30 ered also the laminarity [Fig. 6(a)], entropy [Fig. 6(b)], average 31 diagonal length [Fig. 6(c)], and trapping time [Fig. 6(d)]. We 32 have, in fact, obtained also the radial profiles for the remain-33 ing diagnostics defined in Section 2, but we have chosen to 34 show only four since the results do not differ in a significative 35 way.

### 4. Conclusions

24

26

36

37

38

39 Recurrence quantification analysis (RQA) is a powerful ap-40 proach for the investigation of nonlinear time series appearing 41 in a variety of physically interesting applications, since RQA 42 quantifies the number and duration of recurrences of a dynam-43 ical system presented by its phase space trajectory. One of the 44 advantages from using RQA is to get measures for quantifying 45 the information content of turbulent signals. Those recurrence-46 based diagnostics, like determinism, Shannon entropy, laminar-47 ity, trapping time, etc., have been shown to better distinguish 48 the origins of complex behavior in experimental time series by 49 evaluating the deterministic and stochastic contents of them, if 50 compared with other techniques like power spectra, conditional 51 analysis, etc.

52 The experimental time series considered in this Letter are 53 electrostatic potential fluctuations in the edge plasma of a toka-54 mak. The stability and reproducibility of RQA results obtained 55 in this work indicate that the recurrence-based diagnostics are 56 appropriate to analyze data from plasma edge turbulence ob-57 tained from Langmuir probes. The power of RQA diagnostics

was used to clearly show that the deterministic content of these fluctuations is not spatially uniform, but it is more pronounced just before the plasma border. Our results suggest that theoretical models for describing such fluctuations, using nonlinear mode coupling of drift waves, can be used in the vicinity of the plasma border, but may not give reliable results when applied in the internal edge plasma or the far scrape-off layer separating the plasma column from the tokamak wall. The radial location of the maximum level of determinism is within the region of steep density gradients observed in tokamaks, the latter being considered as the main cause of drift wave instabilities leading to electrostatic plasma turbulence.

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

Thus, our findings are in favor of theoretical models describ-96 ing plasma turbulence in terms of nonlinear interaction of drift 97 98 waves and their predictions as the coherent structure propagation and the onset of zonal flows. On the other hand, usual 99 100 techniques of fluctuation analysis may not give the same in-101 formation we got from ROA about the radial dependence of the deterministic content of the signal. As an example, if we 102 consider that the standard deviation of the fluctuations would 103 quantify the stochastic content of the signal we would be led 104 to a rather different conclusion. In fact, the results show a 105 higher standard deviation in the scrape-off layer, slightly after 106 the plasma border. Hence this conventional statistical approach 107 108 would not recommend the use of deterministic models in the vicinity of the plasma border, which is just the region for which 109 RQA warrants the usefulness of such models. Moreover, while 110 our experimental data do not show stationary behavior from the 111 stochastic point of view, since their statistical moments are not 112 constant, we found evidences of stationarity from the determin-113 istic point of view. 114

Acknowledgements

This work was made possible with partial financial help from FAPESP, CNPq, Fundação Araucária, and CAPES (Brazilian Government Agencies).

#### References

- [1] J.P. Eckmann, S.O. Kamphorst, D. Ruelle, Europhys. Lett. 4 (1987) 963.
- [2] J.P. Zbilut, C.L. Webber Jr., Phys. Lett. A 171 (1992) 199.
- [3] N. Marwan, M.C. Romano, M. Thiel, J. Kurths, Phys. Rep. 438 (2007) 237.
- [4] M. Casdagli, Physica D 108 (1997) 12.
- [5] C.L. Webber, J.P. Zbilut, J. Appl. Physiol. 76 (1994) 965.
- [6] N. Marwan, M.H. Trauth, M. Vuille, J. Kurths, Climate Dynam. 21 (2003) 317.
- [7] J.A. Holyst, M. Zebrowska, K. Urbanowicz, Eur. J. Phys. B 20 (2001) 531.
- [8] J. Kurths, U. Schwarz, C.P. Sonett, U. Parlitz, Nonlinear Process. Geophys. 1 (1994) 72;
  - N. Marwan, M. Thiel, N.R. Nowaczyk, Nonlinear Process. Geophys. 9 (2002) 325.
- [9] N. Marwan, N. Wessel, U. Meyerfeldt, A. Schirdewan, J. Kurths, Phys. Rev. E 66 (2002) 026702.
- [10] T.K. March, S.C. Chapman, R.O. Dendy, Geophys. Res. Lett. 32 (2005) L04101;
- T.K. March, S.C. Chapman, R.O. Dendy, Physica D 200 (2005) 171.
- [11] F. Takens, in: D.A. Rand, L.S. Young (Eds.), Dynamical Systems and Tur bulence, in: Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 898, Springer Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [12] H. Kantz, T. Schreiber, Nonlinear Time Series Analysis, Cambridge Univ.
   Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [13] F.M. Atay, Y. Altintas, Phys. Rev. E 59 (1999) 6593.
  - [14] M. Thiel, M.C. Romano, J. Kurths, Phys. Lett. A 330 (2004) 343.
- <sup>30</sup> [15] M. Thiel, M.C. Romano, P. Read, J. Kurths, Chaos 14 (2004) 234.
- [16] M. Thiel, M.C. Romano, J. Kurths, Izv. VUZov–Appl. Nonlinear Dynam. 11 (3) (2003) 20.

- [17] R.O. Dendy, S.C. Chapman, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 48B (2006) 313.
- [18] R.D. Hazeltine, J.D. Meiss, Plasma Confinement, Addison–Wesley, 1992.
- [19] R. Balescu, Transport Processes in Plasmas: Classical Transport Theory, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1988.
- [20] R.M.O. Galvão, V. Bellintani Jr., R.D. Bengtson, A.G. Elfimov, J.I. Elizondo, A.N. Fagundes, A.A. Ferreira, A.M.M. Fonseca, Yu.K. Kuznetsov, E.A. Lerche, I.C. Nascimento, L.F. Ruchko, W.P. de Sá, E.A. Saettone, E.K. Sanada, J.H.F. Severo, R.P. da Silva, V.S. Tsypin, O.C. Usuriaga, A. Vannucci, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 43 (2001) A299.
- [21] A.A. Ferreira, M.V.A.P. Heller, I.L. Caldas, Phys. Plasmas 7 (2000) 3567.
- M.V.A.P. Heller, R.M. Castro, I.L. Caldas, Z.A. Brasilio, R.P. Silva, I.C. Nascimento, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66 (1997) 3453;
   M.V.A.P. Heller, Z.A. Brasilio, I.L. Caldas, J. Stöckel, J. Petrzilka, Phys. Plasmas 6 (1999) 846.
- [23] A.A. Ferreira, M.V.A.P. Heller, I.L. Caldas, E.A. Lerche, L.F. Ruchko, L.A. Baccalá, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 46 (2004) 669.
- [24] I.C. Nascimento, Y.K. Kuznetsov, J.H.F. Severo, A.M.M. Fonseca, A. Elfimov, V. Bellintani, M. Machida, M.V.A.P. Heller, R.M.O. Galvão, E.K. Sanada, J.I. Elizondo, Nucl. Fusion 45 (2005) 796.
- [25] C.W. Horton, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71 (1999) 735.
- [26] N. Marwan, J. Kurths, Phys. Lett. A 302 (2002) 299.
- [27] L.L. Trulla, A. Giuliani, J.P. Zbilut, C.L. Webber Jr., Phys. Lett. A 223 (1996) 255.
- [28] A.J. Wootton, S.C. McCool, S. Zheng, Fussion Technol. 19 (1991) 973;
   F. Wagner, U. Stroh, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 35 (1993) 1321.
- [29] P.E. Phillips, A.J. Wootton, W.L. Rowan, C.P. Ritz, T.L. Rhodes, R.D. Bengtson, W.L. Hodge, R.D. Durst, S.C. McCool, B. Richards, K.W. Gentle, D.L. Brower, W.A. Peebles, P.M. Schoch, R.L. Hickok, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 59 (1988) 1739; T.L. Rhodes, C.P. Ritz, R.D. Bengtson, K.R. Carter, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 61

1.L. Rhodes, C.P. Kitz, K.D. Bengtson, K.K. Carter, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 61 (1990) 3001.

- [30] C. Riccardi, D. Xuantong, M. Salierno, L. Gamberale, M. Fontanesi, Phys. Plasmas 4 (1997) 3749.
- [31] A.A. Ferreira, M.V.A.P. Heller, M.S. Baptista, I.L. Caldas, Braz. J. Phys. 32 (2002) 1.
- [32] P. Grassberger, I. Proaccia, Physica D 9 (1983) 189.