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Motivation

Understanding the economic impact of natural hazards is important

- Climate change implies increase in disaster frequency and scale (IPCC, 2012; World Bank, 2012; Stern, 2006)
- 243 Mio people per year affected by natural disasters (Oxfam, 2009)
- Nexus between climate change and growth has not yet been understood

Most existing economic studies use outcome based disaster data

- Reporting issues in EM-DAT and NatCatServ (Gall et al., 2008; Strobl, 2012)
  - reporting probability depends on income → selection bias
  - losses unequally distributed across disaster types → hazard bias
  - less reporting in earlier years → temporal bias
  - small and chronicle events underrepresented → threshold bias
- Monetary disaster intensity measures correlate with income per capita (Kahn, 2005; Toya and Skidmore, 2007)
  → endogeneity of damage, even if reporting were exogenous
- Data aggregated at country level, discarding geographic scope of an event → aggregation/attenuation bias, especially for large countries
Idea

An emerging literature uses exogenous data on physical intensities

- Usually restricted in geographic scope and/or to a single hazard-type
  - floods in Mumbai (Hallegatte et al., 2010)
  - hurricanes in the US (Strobl, 2010; Murphy and Strobl 2010)

Research Goal

- Build a new database of geological and meteorological events *(Ifo GAME)*
  - combining physical intensities for various kinds of hazards
  - collected from primary sources
  - covering the entire world
  - at country, region and grid cell level

⇒ Felbermayr and Gröschl (2014)

- Analyze potential mechanisms at the hazard/growth nexus:
  - international trade
  - international migration
  - international financial flows

⇒ Improve understanding of the economic impacts of natural hazards
⇒ Contribute to the development of adaptation strategies
Mechanisms at the Hazard/Growth Nexus

**Standard neoclassical production function**

\[ Y = A \cdot F (B, K, hL) \]

- e.g., droughts negatively affect total factor productivity \( A \), earthquakes and storms destroy \( K \),…
- some factors can be accumulated, others (like arable land \( B \)) cannot
- \( K \) reflects trade and financial flows
- \( L \) reflects migration and death-toll
- institutional capacities play an important roll
  - e.g., Hallegatte et al. (2007) show with a reduced-form model that GDP impact of natural disasters can be close to zero if reconstruction capacity is large, or very high if reconstruction capacity is low
Overview of Empirical Literature

Natural Hazards and Economic Growth

- Event studies on economic impact of natural disasters show output-drop (Charveriat, 2000; Auffret, 2003)
- Studies on long-run growth find negative or neutral effect for geophysical and positive effect for climatic events (Skidmore & Toya, 2002)
- Short-run results depend on size, type, and measure used; they range from
  - positive or neutral (Albala-Bertrand, 1993),
  - to neutral or ambiguous (Noy, 2009; Fomby et al., 2013; Cavallo et al., 2013; Loayza et al., 2012; Felbermayr & Gröschl, 2013),
  - to clear negative disaster effects (Rasmussen, 2004; Noy & Nualsri, 2007; Raddatz, 2007, 2009; Hochrainer, 2009)

Mitigating Factors

- Democracies experience lower death counts (Kahn, 2005)
- Advanced economies and those with better institutions cope better (Toya & Skidmore, 2007; Noy, 2009; Escaleras et al., 2007; Raschky, 2008)
- Others find insignificant effects of democracy, political rights, civil liberties (Strömberg, 2007; Skidmore & Toya, 2013)
Overview of Empirical Literature

Natural Hazards and International Trade

- CGE framework to analyze impact of climate change on economic activity, trade, migration, growth, welfare (Desmet and Rossi-Hansberg; 2013)
- Gravity studies find that international trade allows countries to smooth the effects of temporary output shocks (Gassebner et al., 2010; Oh and Reuveny, 2010)
- Large disasters boost imports and hamper exports; magnitudes depend on financial integration (Felbermayr and Gröschl, 2013)

Natural Hazards and International Migration

- Disasters cause relocation within countries (Barrios, Bertinelli and Strobl, 2006)
- Disasters in Sub-Saharan Africa or development countries cause international outmigration (Naudé, 2010; Drabo and Mbaye, 2011)
- No push effect of disasters found in gravity study using bilateral migration Data (Beine and Parsons, 2015)
Earthquakes

**Incorporated Research Institutions for Seismology (IRIS)**

- Richter Scale magnitudes [0,10)
- Exact locations of earthquake epicenters
- Coverage used: 1979-2014

**Spatial distribution of Earthquakes with magnitude 5 or higher in 2014**

*Basemap Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, ©OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community*
Volcanic Explosions

**Smithsonian Global Volcanism Program**
- Volcanic Explosivity Index (VEI), discrete [0,8]
- Exact locations of volcanoes
- Coverage used: 1979-2014

**Spatial distribution of Volcanoes erupting between 1979 and 2014**

*Basemap Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, ©OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community*
Hurricanes

International Best Track Archive for Climate Stewardship (IBTrACS)
- Wind speeds in knots
- Exact locations / paths of hurricane centers
- Coverage used: 1979-2014

Spatial distribution of Hurricanes from 1979 to 2014

*Basemap Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, ©OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community*
Storms

Global Summary of the Day (GSOD)
- Used as a complement to IBTrACS, to identify smaller storms and gusts
- Exact locations of 23,749 weather stations; wind speeds in knots
- Coverage used: 1979-2014

Spatial distribution of Weather Stations
Temperature

**GHCN_CAMS Gridded 2m Temperature (Land)**
- Interpolated combination of two weather station datasets:
  - Global Historical Climatology Network version 2 (GHCN)
  - Climate Anomaly Monitoring System (CAMS)
- Monthly means of global land surface temperatures in degrees Celsius
- High resolution gridded data (0.5° x 0.5°)
- Coverage used: 1979-2014

**0.5° gridded data**

_Basemap Sources:_ Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, ©OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community
Precipitation

**NASA Global Monthly Merged Precipitation Analyses of GPCP**
- GPCP Version 2.2 Satellite-Gauge Combined Precipitation Data Set:
  - Combines Satellite and Weather Station (Gauge) Data
  - Data from different Satellites and Stations are harmonized
- Monthly precipitation in millimeters
- Intermediate resolution gridded data (2.5° × 2.5°)
- Coverage used: 1979-2014

**International Research Institute for Climate and Society (IRI), Earth Institute, Columbia University: IRI Analyses SPI**
- Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) analyzes of CAMS_OPI Data:
  - Takes “normal rainfall amount” at given locations into account
  - Specifically designed to identify droughts
- Standardized precipitation measures ~ [-3,3]
- Intermediate resolution gridded data (2.5° × 2.5°)
- Coverage used: 1979-2014
Aggregation of GAME Data to the Country Level

Aggregation of gridded data

- By country-year-month:
  - arithmetic mean
  - standard deviation
  - maximum
  - minimum
- By country-month:
  - long run arithmetic mean over all 36 years

Caveat 1: Measuring points are located at the grid cells’ centers

- Small countries (e.g. Austria) may not have any measuring points within their geographic boundaries
- For larger countries, measuring points in border regions may concern only a relatively small aerial fraction of a country

Caveat 2: Fixed-Degree grid cells have varying metric area along latitudes

- Measuring points more remote from equator affect smaller land area
Aggregation of GAME Data to the Country Level

Technique addressing both caveats:

Step 1: Split each country $i$ into fractions $frac$ by grid cells

Step 2: Calculate geodesic land area $a$ in km$^2$ for each fraction in a cell

Step 3: At any point in time $t$, add values of each measuring point to all fractions within its respective cell

Step 4: Calculate fraction-area-weighted means $\bar{x}^{i,t}_i$ and standard deviations $sd(x)^{i,t}_i$ by country-year(-month)

$$\bar{x}^{i,t}_i = \frac{\sum_{frac \in i} a^{i}_{frac} \cdot x^{i,t}_{frac}}{\sum_{frac \in i} a^{i}_{frac}}$$

$$sd(x)^{i,t}_i = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{frac \in i} a^{i}_{frac} \cdot \left( x^{i,t}_{frac} - \bar{x}^{i,t}_i \right)^2}{\frac{M-1}{M} \sum_{frac \in i} a^{i}_{frac}}}$$
Aggregation of GAME Data to the Country Level

How about country max and min?

- Weighting problematic, as truly extreme events might be veiled
- Instead: Use absolute max and min across all fractions with a “non-minor” role for a given country

Selection rule:

\[
x_{i,t}^{\max} = \max \left( x_{frac \in i}^{i,t} \, \big| \, \text{minor}_{frac} \neq 1 \right) \quad \forall \ i, t
\]
\[
x_{i,t}^{\min} = \min \left( x_{frac \in i}^{i,t} \, \big| \, \text{minor}_{frac} \neq 1 \right) \quad \forall \ i, t
\]

where

\[
\text{minor}_{frac} = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } \left( \sum_{frac \in i} a^i_{frac} \geq a_{cell} \right) \land \left( a^i_{frac} < \frac{1}{5} \cdot a_{cell} \right) \\
1, & \text{if } \left( \sum_{frac \in i} a^i_{frac} < a_{cell} \right) \land \left( a^i_{frac} < \frac{1}{5} \cdot \sum_{frac \in i} a^i_{frac} \right) \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]
Aggregation of GAME Data to the Country Level

Aggregation of non-gridded hazards

- Direct mapping of *monthly maximum* to all countries within a radial buffer around the exact hazard location
- Geodesic buffer sizes:
  - Volcanoes: 50 km
  - Hurricanes: 100 km
  - Earthquakes: 150 km

Potential issues of non-gridded hazards

- Volcanoes are very local events, but gas plumes can have extensive impact
- True geographic extent of earthquakes very hard to predict given only their magnitude and depth of epicenter
  \[\Rightarrow\] too many local geological and earth surface characteristics matter
- Wind field simulation for hurricanes preferable to assuming a fixed radius
  \[\Rightarrow\] potential outcome in cooperation with PIK
Summary

Earthquakes

Volcanic Explosions

Storms

Temperature

Precipitation

SPI

G. Felbermayr, J. Gröschl, T. Steinwachs
Evolution Across Time

**Earthquakes**
- Global mean of maximum earthquake magnitude (1980 = 100)

**Volcanic Explosions**
- Global mean of maximum VEI (1980 = 100)

**Storms**
- Global mean of maximum windspeed (1980 = 100)

**Temperature**
- Global mean temperature (1980 = 100)

**Precipitation**
- Global mean precipitation (1980 = 100)

**SPI**
- Global mean SPI (1980 = 100)
Distribution Across Space

**Earthquakes**
- North
- South

**Volcanic Explosions**
- North
- South

**Storms**
- North
- South

**Temperature**
- North
- South

**Precipitation**
- North
- South

**SPI**
- North
- South

- **North America**
- **Europe**
- **Asia**
- **South America**
- **Africa**
- **Pacific**
Next Steps

Data Work

- Aggregate non-gridded location-based hazard data to grid cells
  - Earthquakes
  - Volcanoes
  - Storms
- Process and spatially match covariates
  - night time lights emissions
  - gridded population of the world data
  - gridded GDP
- Refine hazard indicators
  - use RX5 for precipitation-induced floods
  - improve hurricane data by adding wind-field information (coop. with PIK)

Further analyses

- Apply theory-consistent state-of-the-art gravity estimation techniques to trade and migration analyses (Head and Mayer, 2014)
- Analyze effects of natural hazards on financial flows and growth
- Develop estimation framework at grid cell level
## Definition of hazard count variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count Indicator</th>
<th>Intensity Measure</th>
<th>Bound</th>
<th>Minimum Event Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earthquakes</td>
<td>maximum magnitude</td>
<td>( \geq 4 )</td>
<td>felt shaking of the earth with light damage caused to buildings and structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storms</td>
<td>maximum sustained wind speed</td>
<td>( \geq 64 ) knots</td>
<td>some damage to buildings and trees, extensive damage to to power lines and poles (Cat. 1 on Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Scale)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volcanoes</td>
<td>maximum Volcanic Explosivity Index (VEI)</td>
<td>( \geq 1 )</td>
<td>light eruption with ejecta volume ( &gt; 10,000 \ m^3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Droughts</td>
<td>mean Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI)</td>
<td>( \leq 0 )</td>
<td>mild drought according to SPI classes by McKee et al. (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floods</td>
<td>positive difference of monthly mean precipitation over monthly long-run mean</td>
<td>( \geq 0.387 )</td>
<td>light excess-rain anomaly following classes in Felbermayr and Gröschl (2014), Table B-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperatures</td>
<td>absolute difference of monthly mean temperature over monthly long-run mean</td>
<td>( \geq 0.011 )</td>
<td>light temperature anomaly following classes in Felbermayr and Gröschl (2014), Table B-I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Summary Statistics Hazards and Trade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imports_{ij,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Supply_{i,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>13.07</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>17.11</td>
<td>8.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Demand_{j,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>12.35</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>16.57</td>
<td>8.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTA_{ij,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTO_{ij,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazard count_{i,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>29.30</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>58.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthquake count_{i,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm count_{i,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature count_{i,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pos. precipitation count_{i,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drought count_{i,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazard index_{i,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>75.44</td>
<td>15.83</td>
<td>118.12</td>
<td>16.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geo hazard index_{i,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate hazard index_{i,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>69.66</td>
<td>14.80</td>
<td>108.92</td>
<td>11.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthquakes_{i,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volcanoes_{i,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storms_{i,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>56.21</td>
<td>14.70</td>
<td>98.91</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pos. precipitation anomalies_{i,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Droughts_{i,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute Temperature_{i,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>11.97</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>26.10</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazard count_{i,t}, land area</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthquake count_{i,t}, land area</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm count_{i,t}, land area</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature count_{i,t}, land area</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pos. precipitation count_{i,t}, land area</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drought count_{i,t}, land area</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazard index_{i,t}, land area</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>12.28</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geo hazard index_{i,t}, land area</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate hazard index_{i,t}, land area</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>11.36</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthquakes_{i,t}, land area</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volcanoes_{i,t}, land area</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storms_{i,t}, land area</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pos. precipitation anomalies_{i,t}, land area</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Droughts_{i,t}, land area</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute Temperature_{i,t}, land area</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR contig_{ij,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR ln dist_{ij,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR RTA_{ij,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR WTO_{ij,t}</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>