

Model 4C tree species parameter

Table 1 Species-specific parameters, which are used in 4C

Variable name	Unit	Beech	Spruce	Pine	Oak	Douglas fir	Description of parameter	References
							Competition and mortality	
		Fagus sylvatica	Picea abies	Pinus sylvestris	Quercus robur	Pseudotsuga menziesii		
a _{max}	y	430	930	760	1060	930	maximal tree age of individual trees in absence of major disturbances	Expert assessment and BUGMANN (1994)
a _{rec}	y	3	3	3	3	3	stress recovery time	Expert assessment
p _{st}	-	5	4	1	2	4	shade tolerance, high = 5, low = 1	ELLENBERG (1996)
k	-	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.3	Light extinction coefficient	
							Physiological parameters	
σ _n	y ⁻¹	0.032	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.025	specific nitrogen uptake capacity of fine roots	Expert assessment, TREEDYN3 (BOSSEL (1994), SONNTAG (1998))
Y	-	0.5	0.52	0.52	0.5	0.5	respiration coefficient : fraction of gross production which is respired by the whole plant	Modified from LANDSBERG and WARING (1997)

Variable name	Unit	Beech	Spruce	Pine	Oak	Douglas fir	Description of parameter	References
s _f	y ⁻¹	1	0.181	0.31	1	0.2	senescence rate of leaves (= 1/life span)	Various sources BARTELINK (1998), BOSSEL (1994), MÄKELÄ (1997), LEEMANS and PRENTICE (1989)
s _s	y ⁻¹	0.026	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	senescence rate of sap wood	
s _r	y ⁻¹	0.65	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.75	senescence rate of fine roots	
p _{cn}	gN (g C) ⁻¹	0.008	0.0052	0.0079	0.008	0.00955	average plant nitrogen-carbon ratio for biomass	Various sources used in FORSANA (GROTE (1998); GROTE and SUCKOW (1998)) and TREEDYN3 (BOSSEL (1994))
N _{fol}	mg g ⁻¹	26.01	13.36	13.46	25	15.22	N concentration of foliage	JACOBSEN et al. (2003)
N _{frt}	mg g ⁻¹	7.15	10.77	7.44	8.94	3.67	N concentration of fine roots	
N _{crt}	mg g ⁻¹	3.03	4.14	1.77	3.71	1.62	N concentration of coarse roots	
N _{tbc}	mg g ⁻¹	4.27	5.24	3.61	6.19	3.62	N concentration of twigs and branches	
N _{stem}	mg g ⁻¹	1.54	1.22	1.09	2.1	1.035	N concentration of stemwood	
r _{allofol}	-	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	reallocation parameter of foliage	Expert assessment

Variable name	Unit	Beech	Spruce	Pine	Oak	Douglas fir	Description of parameter	References
r _{allofrt}	-	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	reallocation parameter of fine root	Expert assessment
α _c	-	0.48	0.5	0.46	0.56	0.54	average growth increment of branches, twigs and gross roots relative to the sap wood increment	Expert assessment
cr _{frac}	-	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.55	0.54	fraction of twigs, branches, roots that is coarse roots	Modified from CANNELL (1982)
ρ _s	kg cm ⁻³	0.00065	0.00042	0.000403	0.00056	0.000405	density of sap wood, often be approximated by wood density	Various sources: BURGER (1950), MÄKELÄ (1997), HOFFMANN (1995), ELLENBERG (1996)
η _s	kg cm ⁻²	0.03	0.096	0.05	0.02	0.093	leaf mass to sap wood area	BARTELINK (1998), BERNINGER and NIKINMAA (1994), MÄKELÄ et al. (1995), MENCUCINI and GRACE (1995), KAPIAINEN and HARI (1985)
							isometric and allometric relationships	
α _h	cm kg ⁻¹	125	40	190	100	40	height growth rate	Expert assessment

Variable name	Unit	Beech	Spruce	Pine	Oak	Douglas fir	Description of parameter	References
α_{vh1}	-	1089.3	284.8	206	946.7	750	parameter for non-linear height-foliage relationship	Derived from data fits for the relationship
α_{vh2}	-	0.1351	-0.0151	0.03177	0.299	-0.015	parameter for non-linear height-foliage relationship	
α_{vh3}	-	0.504	0.5039	0.877	0.948	0.35	parameter for non-linear height-foliage relationship	
c_a	$m \text{ cm}^{-1}$	0.09571	0.06383	0.05213	0.095	0.081287	parameter for crown coverage – DBH relation	Data fits from e.g.: LÄSSIG (1991), VANSELOW (1951)
c_b	m	0.57732	0.33567	0.48139	0.5	0.355485	parameter for crown radius - DBH relation	
c_c	m	15	12	10	15	5	parameter for crown radius - DBH relation	
$S_{min,c}$	$\text{m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$	12	3.78	4	14	2.82	minimum specific one-sided leaf area	Expert assessment (Bugmann, Bossel, Mäkelä and other)
$S_{a,c}$	-	12	2.4	1	4.7	4.87	light depending. specific one-sided leaf area	Modified from LYR et al. (1964)

Variable name	Unit	Beech	Spruce	Pine	Oak	Douglas fir	Description of parameter	References
							Photosynthesis parameters all photosynthesis parameters are currently non species specific,	
ϕ_c		1	0.8	0.9	1	0.8	efficiency parameter, different for evergreen and deciduous trees	Modified from BUGMANN (1994)
K_{mc}	Pa	30	30	30	30	30	Michaelis constant for CO ₂ at 25 °C	HAXELTINE and PRENTICE (1996)
K_{mo}	kPa	30	30	60	30	30	Inhibition constant for O ₂ at 25 °C	
τ	-	3400	2600	3400	3400	2600	CO ₂ /O ₂ specificity value at 25 °C	
Q_{10c}	-	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	Q_{10} of temperature dependency of Michaelis constant for CO ₂	
Q_{10o}	-	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	Q_{10} of temperature dependency of Inhibition constant for O ₂	
$Q_{10\tau}$	-	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	Q_{10} of temperature dependency of specificity CO ₂ /O ₂ ratio	
b	-	0.01	0.015	0.01	0.01	0.015	mitochondrial respiration rate (Rd) / maximal carboxylation rate (Vm)	
							phenology related parameters	

Variable name	Unit	Beech	Spruce	Pine	Oak	Douglas fir	Description of parameter	References
BB _{end}	d	282	366	366	287	366	average day of leaf drop	
T _{I,min}	°C	-10.34	-	-	-23.05	-	prohibitor-inhibitor model (PIM): Inhibitor minimum temperature	SCHABER (2002)
T _{I,opt}	°C	-0.89	-	-	-0.3	-	PIM: Inhibitor optimum temperature	
T _{I,max}	°C	18.11	-	-	16.91	-	PIM: Inhibitor maximum temperature	
a ₂	-	0.058326	-	-	0.055149	-	PIM: Inhibitor scaling factor	
T _{IPmin}	°C	-10.03	-	-	3.46	-	PIM: Promotor minimum temperature	
T _{P,opt}	°C	28.61	-	-	34.55	-	PIM: Promotor optimum temperature.	
T _{IPmax}	°C	44.49	-	-	34.55	-	PIM: Promotor maximum temperature	
a ₃	-	0.109494	-	-	0.331253	-	PIM: Promotor scaling factor	
a ₁ , a ₄	-	0.039178	-	-	0.010379	-	PIM: Promotor scaling factor -	
							Interception	

Variable name	Unit	Beech	Spruce	Pine	Oak	Douglas fir	Description of parameter	References
C _{pots}	mm m ⁻²	0.6	0.8	0.9	0.5	0.8	potential interception storage capacity	Modified from JANSSON et al. (1991) and monitoring data
decomposition parameters								
k _{pom} ^f	d ⁻¹	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.015	0.08	mineralization constant of foliage litter	Modified from BAUHUS (1994), BERG (1986), BERG and STAAF (1980), BERGMANN (1998), EDMONDS (1979), GOSZ et al. (1973), JOHANSSON (1994), MACKENSEN and BAUHUS (1999), PARDO et al. (1997), PESCHKE and MOLLENHAUER (1993)
k _{syn} ^f	-	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.2	synthesis coefficient of humus from foliage litter	
k _{pom} ^{fr}	d ⁻¹	0.02	0.05	0.035	0.01	0.05	mineralization constant of fine root litter	
k _{syn} ^{fr}	-	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.3	synthesis coefficient of humus from fine root litter	
k _{pom} ^{fr}	d ⁻¹	0.02	0.05	0.035	0.01	0.05	mineralization constant of fine root litter	
k _{syn} ^c	-	0.1	0.1	0	0.1	0.1	synthesis coefficient of humus from coarse roots litter	
k _{pom} ^{tb}	d ⁻¹	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	mineralization constant of twigs, branches litter	

Variable name	Unit	Beech	Spruce	Pine	Oak	Douglas fir	Description of parameter	References
k_{syn}^{tb}	-	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.8	synthesis coefficient of humus from twigs, branches litter	
k_{pom}^{st}	d^{-1}	0.0025	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	mineralization constant of stem litter	
k_{syn}^{st}	-	0.1	0.1	0	0.1	0.1	synthesis coefficient of humus from stem litter	

References

- BARTELINK, H. H., 1998: Simulation of growth and competition in mixed stands of Douglas fir and beech, landbouwuniveriteit Wageningen; 218 pp.
- BAUHUS, J., 1994: Stoffumsätze in Lochhieben. Berichte des Forschungszentrums Waldökosysteme, Reihe A **113**, Göttingen, 181 pp.
- BERG, B., 1986: The influence of experimental acidification on nutrient release and decomposition rates of needle and root litter in the forest floor. -- For. Ecol. Manage. **15**, 195-213.
- BERG, B., H. STAAF, 1980: Decomposition rate and chemical changes of Scots pine needle litter. I. Influence of stand age. -- In: PERSSON, T. (Ed.): Structure and function of northern coniferous forests - An ecosystem study, Stockholm, 363-372.
- BERGMANN, C., 1998: Stickstoffumsätze in der Humusaufklage unterschiedlich immissionsbelasteter Kiefernbestände (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) im nordostdeutschen Tiefland mit besonderer Berücksichtigung des gelösten Stickstoffs. Cottbuser Schriften zu Bodenschutz und Rekultivierung, BTU Cottbus, Cottbus, Germany, 128 pp.
- BERNINGER, F., E. NIKINMAA, 1994: Foliage area-sapwood area relationships of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) trees in different climates. -- Can. J. For. Res. **24**, 2263-2268.
- BOSSEL, H., 1994: TREEDYN3 Forest Simulation model: mathematical model, programm documentation, and simulation results. Schriftenreihe B, Universität Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 120 pp.
- BUGMANN, H., 1994: On the ecology of mountainous forests in a changing climate: A simulation study, ETH Zürich, Switzerland, Ph.D. Thesis, 258 pp.
- BURGER, H., 1950: Holz, Blattmenge und Zuwachs. X. Die Buche. -- Mitt. Schweiz. Anst. forstl. Versuchswes. **26**, 419-468.
- CANNELL, M., 1982: World Forest Biomass and Primary Production Data. -- Academic Press. London, 399 pp.
- EDMONDS, R. L., 1979: Decomposition And Nutrient Release In Douglas-Fir Needle Litter In Relation To Stand Development. -- Can. J. For. Res./Rev. Can. Rech. For. **9**, 132-140.
- ELLENBERG, H., 1996: Vegetation Mitteleuropas mit den Alpen in ökologischer Sicht. -- Ulmer Verlag. Stuttgart, 989 pp.
- GOSZ, J. R., G. E. LIKENS, F. H. BORMANN, 1973: Nutrient release from decomposing leaf and branch litter in the Hubbard Brook Forest, New Hampshire. -- Ecol. Monogr. **43**, 173-191.
- GROTE, R., 1998: Integrating dynamic morphological properties into forest growth modeling. II. Allocation and mortality. -- For. Ecol. Manage. **111**, 193-210.
- GROTE, R., F. SUCKOW, 1998: Integrating dynamic morphological properties into forest growth modeling. I. Effects on water balance and gas exchange. -- For. Ecol. Manage. **112**, 101-119.
- HAXELTINE, A., I. C. PRENTICE, 1996: A general model for the light-use efficiency of primary production. -- Funct. Ecol. **10**, 551-561.

- HOFFMANN, F., 1995: FAGUS, a model for growth and development of beech. -- Ecol. Model. **83**, 327-348.
- JACOBSEN, C., P. RADEMACHER, H. MEESENBURG, K. MEIWES, 2003: Gehalte chemischer Elemente in Baumkompartimenten, Niedersächsische Forstliche Versuchsanstalt, Göttingen, Germany, 81 pp.
- JANSSON, P., H. ECKERSTEN, H. JOHNSSON, 1991: SOILN model. User's Manual: Communications v. 91:6, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden, 59 pp.
- JOHANSSON, M. B., 1994: Decomposition Rates of Scots Pine Needle Litter Related to Site Properties, Litter Quality, and Climate. -- Can. J. For. Res./Rev. Can. Rech. For. **24**, 1771-1781.
- KAIPIAINEN, L., P. HARI, 1985: Consistencies in the structure of Scots pine. -- In: TIGERSTEDT, P., P. PUTTONEN and V. KOSKI (Eds.): Crop physiology of forest trees.-- Helsinki University Press, Helsinki, 32-37.
- LANDSBERG, J. J., R. H. WARING, 1997: A Generalised Model of Forest Productivity Using Simplified Concepts of Radiation-Use Efficiency, Carbon Balance and Partitioning. -- For. Ecol. Manage. **95**, 209-228.
- LÄSSIG, R., 1991: Zum Wachstum von Fichtensolitären (*Picea abies* [L.] Karst.) in Südwestdeutschland. , Albert-Ludwig-Universität; 136 pp.
- LEEMANS, R., I. PRENTICE, 1989: FORSKA, a general forest succession model. Meddelanden frdn Vaxbiologiska Institutionen, Uppsala, Sweden, 60 pp.
- LYR, H., G. HOFFMANN, W. ENGEL, 1964: Über den Einfluß unterschiedlicher Beschattung auf die Stoffproduktion von Jungpflanzen einiger Waldbäume (II. Mitteilung). -- Flora **155**, 305-330.
- MACKENSEN, J., J. BAUHUS, 1999: The decay of coarse woody debrisNational Carbon Accounting System, Technical Report **6**, Australian Greenhouse Office, Canberra, 40 pp.
- MÄKELÄ, A., 1997: A carbon balance model of growth and self-pruning in trees based on structural relationships. -- For. Sci. **43**, 7-23.
- MÄKELÄ, A., K. VIRTANEN, E. NIKINMAA, 1995: The effects of ring width, stem position, and stand density on the relationship between foliage biomass and sapwood area in Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*). -- Can. J. For. Res. **25**, 970-977.
- MENCUCCHINI, M., J. GRACE, 1995: Climate influences the leaf area/sapwood area ratio in Scots pine. -- Tree Physiology **15**, 1-10.
- PARDO, F., L. GIL, J. A. PARDOS, 1997: Field study of beech (t *Fagus sylvatica* L.) and melojo oak (t *Quercus pyrenaica* Willd) leaf litter decomposition in the centre of the Iberian Peninsula. -- Plant Soil **191**, 89-100.
- PESCHKE, H., S. MOLLENHAUER, 1993: Der Einfluß von Holz- und Rindenmaterial europäischer und tropischer Baumarten auf die stickstoff- und Kohlenstoffdynamik des Bodens. -- Gartenbauwissenschaft **58**, 97-103.
- SCHABER, J., 2002: Phenology in Germany in the 20th century: methods, analyses and models. Math.-Nat. Fakultät, Universität Potsdam, PhD Thesis, 164 pp.
- SONNTAG, M., 1998: Klimaveränderung und Waldwachstum: TREEDYN3-Simulationen mit einer Analyse modellstrukturierter Unsicherheiten, Universität Kassel; 160 pp.

VANSELOW, K., 1951: Einfluß des Pflanzverbandes auf die Entwicklung reiner Fichtenbestände. II. -- Forstw. Cbl. **69**, 497-527.